# Air Temperature in the Undulator Hall \*

### J. Welch

January 12, 2006

# Contents

1	Intr	oduction	1						
	1.1	Undulator Hall HVAC System	1						
	1.2	Analyses Performed	1						
	1.3	1-D Assumption	2						
	1.4	Heat Transfer Coefficient	2						
<b>2</b>	Per	formance Estimates	4						
	2.1	Normal Operation	4						
	2.2	Access	4						
	2.3	Long Term and Seasonal Behavior	4						
	2.4	Effect of Air Temperature on Equipment	5						
3	Upgrade Scenarios								
Α	A Welch Access Loads								

# 1 Introduction

Various analyses have been performed recently to estimate the performance of the air conditioning (HVAC) system planned for the Undulator Hall. This reports summarizes the results and provides an upgrade plan to be used if new requirements are needed in the future. The estimates predict that with the planned loads the tunnel air temperature will be well within the allowed tolerance during normal operation.

#### 1.1 Undulator Hall HVAC System

A recirculating HVAC system with a flow rate of 20,000 cfm was chosen for the Undulator Hall. A single square duct carries air from the east end to the west end of the tunnel where it is discharge into the tunnel. The air in the tunnel flows from west to east only. At the east end the air is removed from the tunnel and recirculated to a pair of 10,000 cfm air handler units (AHU). The air handler units condition the air to the desired temperature to maintain a constant discharge temperature into the tunnel of 68 °F (20 °C). As the air flows in the tunnel it picks up heat from various equipment sources and, in some cases lighting, as well as loses heat to the cool tunnel walls and floor and other heat sinks. The average air temperature as it flows down the tunnel is the determined by a balance of the heating and cooling sources.

## 1.2 Analyses Performed

Separate analysis were carried out by Kleyn [1], Sharma [2], Welch, and Worek [3]. The analyses had in common an assumption of perfect mixing of the tunnel air within any cross section at any point along the tunnel, but they differ in their estimates of the heating and cooling sources. Differences in heating loads used are attributable to different choices of operating conditions and different estimates used for the amont of power generated by the equipment. More significantly, the differences in cooling loads used are attributable to different estimates for the heat transfer coefficient which governs the exchange of heat be-

<sup>\*</sup>Work supported in part by the DOE Contract DE-AC02-76SF00515.This work was performed in support of the LCLS project at SLAC.

tween the air and a surface held at a given temperature, and different assumptions about the ambient ground temperature. These issues are discussed below.

#### 1.3 1-D Assumption

Perfect mixing of the tunnel air within any cross section at any point along the tunnel means that at any given distance along the tunnel, the local heat and cooling sources are added together and only the net heat is used to calculate the change in the average local air temperature. This essentially 1-D assumption implies only the *balance* of heating and cooling sources at any given tunnel distance is significant.

In reality the air will not be perfectly mixed. Air near cool walls will be significantly cooler than average. Air near heat sources will be significantly warmer. However, the average flow velocity in the tunnel is such that the Reynolds number, evaluated at the tunnel diameter, is 140,000, which indicates fully turbulent flow. This turbulence should effectively mix the air within a few tunnel diameters (diameter is 5.79 m) of distance along the tunnel. So the 1-D/perfect mixing approximation is valid if it is understood that the air temperature it predicts is a one-side average over a few tunnel diameters distance.

#### 1.4 Heat Transfer Coefficient

One of the most important inputs into the calculations is the estimate of the heat transfer coefficient h between the air and the tunnel walls and floors. A boundary layer of air will form between the main air flow in the tunnel, which is at the nominal velocity of 0.45 m/s, and the tunnel wall. At the surface of the wall the velocity of the air approaches zero and the only heat transfer mechanism at work is conduction through still air. Since still air is an excellent insulator, a steep temperature gradient may be required in the boundary layer to conduct heat from the main air stream to the tunnel wall. Consequently there can easily develop substantial temperature differences between surface of the tunnel walls and the main air stream for a given amount of heat flux being transported. The heat transfer coefficient is a convenient means to describe the overall effect of the boundary layer on the net heat transfer. It is defined as the net heat flux divided by the temperature difference between the surface of the wall and the main air stream. Outside the boundary layer, the dominating heat transfer mechanism is by mass flow rather than conduction. This much more efficient heat transfer mechanism results in nearly uniform temperature across the main air stream.

Estimates for the value of h are usually found from measured correlations, though than can be measured directly. The correlations are given in terms of dimensionless variables so that the principle of 'similarity' can be employed. This is nothing more than a statement that if two physical situations have the same shape (not necessarily the same size, viscosity, speed, etc), then the relationship between the various dimensionless numbers is unique. Thus if the correlation of the dimensionless variables is measured for the shape of interest, the results can be easily applied to other sizes, fluids, temperatures, etc. In practice however, measured correlations are easy to find for only the simplest shapes and not for the exact shapes of interest. In such cases there must be a leap of faith that the shapes are too different. In our case, correlations exist for axial flow through a round duct (tube), but not with the shape of our tunnel.

Forced vs. Free Convection Most of the analysis assumed that the dominant heat transfer mechanism to the tunnel walls is based on solely on forced convection. On theoretical grounds it can be seen that the relative importance of bouyancy, which is the driving force in free convection, to forced convection heat transfer is qualitatively given by the ratio of the Grashof Number (Gr) to the square of the Reynolds number (Re).[4] If  $Gr/Re^2 \sim 1$  then free convection may be significant. The Grashof number is proportional to the difference in temperature between air and the wall. If we assume this temperature difference is 1 C, which is appropriate for initial operation, then  $Gr/Re^2 = 0.8$  so there may be significant free convection contribution to the heat transfer. However, in the particular case of a vertical

plate, it has been shown that free convection is negligible even when  $Gr/Re^2 > 10$  so perhaps the theoretical estimate is not precise enough and somewhat overestimates the importance of free convection heat transfer. The uncertainty in the extent to which free convection plays a role in the heat transfer is reflected in the range of different estimates for the tunnel air temperature.

**Radiative Heat Transfer** Generally radiative heat transfer was assumed to be insignificant in the analyses except for that of Worek, who assumed it played an important role in generating a higher effective heat transfer coefficient. All analyses predict temperature differences between the air and the walls of order 1 °C or less. With the assumption of perfect black body emission (maximum radiative power) such small differences in temperatures can produce radiative heat fluxes only of order 6  $W/m^2$  or less. Nevertheless this is more than the heat flux expected through the tunnel walls due to convective heat transfer (See Figure 1 for example). However, air is largely transparent to thermal radiation. For example, thermal radiation produced by a warm piece of equipment will radiate directly to the cool tunnel walls without being absorbed first by the air in between. So, while radiative heat transfer should not be significant in changing the air temperature, it is significant in determining the steady state temperatures of the walls and the equipment. Generally radiative heat transfer will tend to locally bring steady state temperatures of equipment and walls closer together.

**Ground Temperature** The tunnel walls and floor are the main source of cooling in all the models but the models differ substantially on what temperatures were assumed for the walls and floor. Values used ranged from 15 °C to 19 °C. Information from the USGS from two monitoring wells in the area indicate that the soil temperature at the depth of the deep part of the tunnel is about 18 °C. Once the HVAC system is started and the air is held near 20 °C the walls and floor will start to warm up. The rate at which they warm up depends on soil properties as well as the heat transfer coefficient. An ANSYS cal-



Figure 1: Estimated tunnel wall temperature and heat flux for the first year of operation.

culation by Welch of the tunnel wall and surrounding ground temperature based on a simplified tunnel geometry, (round cross section, no surface effects), but with realistic soil properties [5], [6] is shown in Figure 1. A quasi-static value for the wall temperature, approximately 19.2 deg C is reached in roughly three months after the HVAC system is turned on. This estimate is based on a heat transfer coefficient of 1.2  $W/m^2$  which is commensurate with the estimates of Welch, SCS, and Sharma. The same calculation with a higher heat transfer coefficient effectively compresses the time scale so the quasi-static state is reached sooner. With a higher heat transfer coefficient the wall temperature comes closer to the air temperature, but this effect is offset by the higher heat transfer rate which warms the wall more quickly, so the net wall cooling is only slightly higher than in the case of the relatively low heat transfer coefficient. A factor of 4 increase in h leads to only about a 30% increase in the wall cooling rate. Thus, the cooling rate of the tunnel walls is not particularly sensitive to the heat transfer coefficient.

# 2 Performance Estimates

The predictions made apply to specific operating conditions and loads. Two representative conditions are defined as 'Normal Operation" and 'Access'. Normal operation is meant to represent conditions when beam is delivered to the Undulator Hall and xrays are being produced and sent to the FEE. 'Access' is meant to represent conditions when there is permitted access to the Undulator Hall, people are present and presumably engaged in some activity.

#### 2.1 Normal Operation

The SCS prediction for the heat balance under normal operating conditions using 'most probable' estimates for heat loads (rather than worst case estimates) is shown in Figure 2. Both the temperature of the air in the supply duct and the temperature of the air in the tunnel are shown. The supply duct air heats up mainly due to friction as it traverses the duct through the tunnel. Tunnel air starts at 20.0 °C and warms approximately linearly to a maximum of 20.13 °C which is at the end of the installed equipment, and then falls back a bit before it reaches the return ducting. This model predicts the tunnel air will be well within tolerance of  $\pm 0.56$  °C. The wall temperature assumed was 19 °C, which is appropriate for the first year of operation. As the wall slowly warms over the first few months of operation the peak temperature of the air will rise as well.

A detailed list of the loads assumed in the SCS calcuation is given in their report [1]. The equipment portion of these loads were generated by Pile, et. al. at Argonne. A pictorial example of the equipment heat loads is shown in Figure 5. Loads due to conventional facilities were partially supplied to SCS by Welch and partly calculated directly from the specifications of the chilled and hot water lines (insulated), and duct specifications. The most notable features are:

- Alignment electronics was assumed to be water cooled with 90% efficiency, leaving only 80 W/rack going into the air
- Lights are off



Figure 2: SCS estimate for normal operations.

- Quadrupoles are water cooled
- Low power line transmission loss

#### 2.2 Access

A load case was developed to represent the most probable loads during tunnel access when lights are turned on and people are in the tunnel. The Welch prediction for the tunnel air temperature during access is shown in Figure 3. The biggest change in load between normal operation and access is due lighting, which is off during normal operation and on during access. The discharge temperature was dropped to about 19.5  $^{\circ}$ C so that the temperature swings on the undulator components is minimized. The overall air temperature stays just within the nominal operating tolerance, but is well within the tolerance on the maximum temperature exposure of the undulator segments ( $\pm 5$  °C.) This estimate assumed 19 degree C walls. A complete list of the heat loads assumed for this case is in Appendix A.

#### 2.3 Long Term and Seasonal Behavior

In the deeper parts of the tunnel, which roughly constitute roughly 85% of the length of the undulator, the annual temperature variation in undisturbed soil is expected to be less than  $\pm 0.25$  °C. This estimate is

#### Normal Operation, T\_w = 19



Figure 3: Welch estimate for Access conditions. The horizontal lines represent the tolerance limits for Normal Operation.



Figure 4: USGS soil temperature measurements.

based on measurements from two nearby wells maintained by the USGS taken at different times of the year about six months apart, which are shown in Figure 4. Differences in local conditions, such as sun exposure and water table heights can cause small differences in the ground temperature profiles at the level of  $\sim 0.1$  °C.

# 2.4 Effect of Air Temperature on Equipment

Ultimately we are interested in the temperature that Undulator components come to in the tunnel and how much and how fast they change with changing tunnel conditions. None of the equipment is directly temperature controlled so the temperature a piece of equipment comes to is determined by the balance of heating and cooling with the tunnel air being one element of the balance. Additional sources of heating and cooling are conduction to other pieces of equipment and the floor, thermal radiation (as discussed in Section 1.4), and heat generation by the equipment, for example resistive heating. All sources of heating and cooling need to be evaluated before the steady state temperature of the devices can be calculated. The range of steady state temperatures of equipment can be expected to be somewhat different from than the temperature range of the air. In some cases, especially where the equipment generates heat, the range of steady state temperatures can be greater than the range of air temperatures.

# 3 Upgrade Scenarios

If in the future substantially increased heat loads or substantially decreased temperature tolerances are desired, there is a straightforward path to handle the new demands. Chilled and hot water lines are planned to pass through the Undulator Hall to supply chilled water to the service buildings in the Research Yard. There is extra capacity in these lines. A series of isolation valves, 13 pairs in all, are to be installed near the large break locations of the undulator magnets. This arrangement provides a robust source of cooling power that can be tapped as needed in the future. Depending on the future requirements, additional cooling demands can be met by as simply as connecting fin cooled heat exchangers and manually setting the flow rate. Or, it can be more sophisticated by adding a variable heater in series with the heat exchanger so that localized feedback can be employed. This latter arrangement would make it

possible to regulate the air temperature even in the presence of time varying heat sources. Because the stub-outs are will be in place after construction of the tunnel, the chilled water system wouldn't need to be drained to install additional equipment, thus minimizing any impact such an upgrade might have on other systems availability.

# Acknowledgments

The author would like to acknowledge the substantial contributions of Colin Williams, Joseph Kleyn, Bill Worek, Sushil Sharma, Marvin Kirshenbaum, and Javier Sevilla.

# References

- LCLS Undulator Hall Tunnel Thermal Performance Analysis, SCS-SLAC-R-0001, J. Kleyn. From SCS Solutions, Sunnyvale Ca.,12/21/05, 46p.
- [2] Presentation "Heat Balance in the LCLS Tunnel", S. Sharma, 12/12/05, 12p
- [3] "Analysis of the Heating and Cooling Requirements of the Undulator Hall at SLAC", W. Worek, 12/9/05, 16p.
- [4] F. Kreith, Principles of Heat Transfer, Intl Textbook Co., 1967, pp 358
- [5] Colin Williams, Heat Flow Studies, Earthquake Hazards Team, U.S. Geologicial Survey, estimated the thermal conductivity to be about 2.5 W/m°C.
- [6] Gerard Aarons, SLAC, examined 20 or so borehole geophysical logs in the Ladera Sandstone and stated the thermal conductivity is generally in the range of 2.25 to 3.25 W/m°C.

	1	AS	SSUME V			ED ELECTRONIC BOXES A,B,C,& D,		TER COOLE	D CABLE
CELL 4	ł	11	KAT SUP	PLIED F	ROIVI	PRECISION CONTROLLED WATER S	SOURCE.	CEL	L 1 823
	The local division of							CEL	L 2 751
Charles	1			TRANSPORT OF TAXABLE PARTY.	-			CEL	L 3 679
LALIA	and the second second	-		1				CEL	L 4 1407
			E Bach		1			CEL	L 5 535
					a c	AULAL LAL LAL		CEL	1.6 463
					/		E Care		ΔΙ 4658
							Ches a		AL 4030
			Successive statements						
and the second se	8VV 18VV	18	N 10					and the second division of the second divisio	
		101	18	16	SW-	1610/			
						16W 16W 16W			and the second
M3-	10W			+		1011 14	VV 14	W 14W	
12 Martinesson	and the second se	M2-	-5W Q	2W				140	• 14W
	and and a second second	State of the local division of the local div	···· >	M3-1	WC	and the second s	-		and the second second
				-	The statement	M2-5W M3-10W	/		
M4-5W			111	· 11				M2-5V	VQ <sup>2W</sup>
	ALIGN		MIEN	(ff)	-		1 1 1	and the second se	DLB (
24	A		IVI I-DV	-	M4	-5W D C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2000		124				M1-5W			
		Ve		1	14		1014-500	D C	
				-			5	A	M1-5W
							2	B+	
			τοται				τοται		
	CELL 4		WATTS	ELECT	RONI	C BOXES	WATTS	MISC.	
	UNDULATOR 1	18	72	Δ	20	BPM	80	0	OUADS &
	UNDULATOR 2	16	64	B	12	BFM 5W / MOTION IOC 7W	24		CORRECTORS
	UNDULATOR 3	14	56	B+	19	BFM 5W / MOTION IOC 7W / LB IOC 7W	19	DLB	5 MOTORS @ 5
				С	25	PHOTO MULTI 10W / F/OPTIC RAD LOSS	100		1 MOTOR @ 5V
	UNDU	LATOR N	IOTORS			5W / PHOTODIODE RAD LOSS 10W			1 CAMERA @ 6
	M1	5	15	D		ALIGNMENT	0	CABLE DF	ROPS
M2 5 15 ALIGN 800 3 CELL ALIGNMENT EQUIPMENT 800									

Figure 5: Example of equipment loads from Undulator System

TOTAL CELL WATTAGE

1367 WATTS

5 5 10

5

15 15 30

15

M1 M2 M3

M4

# A Welch Access Loads

Air Temperature D	istributi	on and H								
Access										
"Most Probable Loa	ads"									
Maintained by J. Welch										
Last update	12/5/05	New lighting lo	ad estimate							
				l [	Ц					
Total Heat Load	All	<u>13476</u>	[W]							
Undulato	or System	4860	[W]	28.6						
Conventional	Facilities	8450	īwī	49.7						
					rt					
Load Parameters			1		Н					
magnet water convective load	-2.4	W/m	Assume two 2	in lines with no	o i	nsulation at 19	C			
chilled water convective load	-5.2	W/m	the -5.2 value	is based on 60	)%	specification				
LCW for Beam Dump	7.2	W/m	Two 3 inch pip	oes 90 F, with 1	l ir	nch insulation				
Power line load	3.5	W/m	15 kW averag	e transmitted p	oov	wer assumed @	0 4% power lo	SS		
Lighting load	47	W /m	Full 30 fc light	ing using 60%	de	esign specs				
People load	6	W/m	10 people @ 1	LOO W uniformly	y c	distributed				
Temporary Equipment	3	W/m	500 W of equi	pment uniforml	ly	distributed				
T wall nominal	19	deg C	Wall temp at o	discharge at des	sir	ed point in tim	e			
Wall heating load	/all heating load -11.2 W/m calculated from hf. varies sl					y with time, us	se twall approp	riate for 6 mon	ths after start	up
h_f_wall 1.25 W/m^2 C heat transfer coef for wall										
circumference	ference of tunn	el								
HVAC Parameters	$\square$									
air flow (cfm)	20,000	cfm	design							
Max Temp Required	20.56	deg C	design							
Min Temp Required	19.44	deg C	design							
Discharge Temperature	19.50	deg C	design		$\square$					

stributions				CF Estimated	Loads				
									Chilled Water
									Lines
	Air					Temporary			Convective
Location	Temperature	Delta Q	Integrated Q	Lighting	People	Equipment	Exit Signs	Power Lines	Load
[m]	[deg C]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]
512	19.5	8	8	0	0		8	0	0
518.8	19.541	471	479	320	40	20	0	24	-35
522.7	19.569	320	798	182	23	11	0	14	-20
526.6	19.602	370	1168	182	23	11	0	14	-20
530.8	19.632	345	1514	202	25	13	8	15	-22
534.7	19.660	314	1827	182	23	11	0	14	-20
538.6	19.692	364	2191	182	23	11	0	14	-20
542.9	19.721	331	2523	202	25	13	0	15	-22
546.8	19.748	316	2838	182	23	11	8	14	-20
550.6	19.780	358	3196	182	23	11	0	14	-20
554.9	19.815	405	3602	202	25	13	0	15	-22
558.8	19.842	302	3903	182	23	11	0	14	-20
562.7	19.873	360	4263	182	23	11	8	14	-20
567.0	19.901	319	4582	202	25	13	0	15	-22
570.8	19.927	296	4878	182	23	11	0	14	-20
574.7	19.958	346	5224	182	23	11	0	14	-20
579.0	19.986	321	5545	202	25	13	8	15	-22
582.9	20.011	290	5835	182	23	11	0	14	-20
586.7	20.042	344	6179	182	23	11	0	14	-20
591.0	20.069	315	6494	202	25	13	0	15	-22
594.9	20.103	384	6878	182	23	11	8	14	-20
598.8	20.134	350	7228	182	23	11	0	14	-20
603.1	20.162	321	7549	202	25	13	0	15	-22
606.9	20.188	302	7851	182	23	11	0	14	-20
610.8	20.220	364	8215	182	23	11	8	14	-20
615.1	20.249	327	8542	202	25	13	0	15	-22
619.0	20.276	308	8850	182	23	11	0	14	-20
622.9	20.308	362	9212	182	23	11	0	14	-20
627.2	20.338	341	9553	202	25	13	8	15	-22
631.0	20.365	314	9867	182	23	11	0	14	-20
634.9	20.404	448	10315	182	23	11	0	14	-20
639.2	20.434	339	10654	202	25	13	0	15	-22
643.1	20.463	328	10982	182	23	11	8	14	-20
646.9	20.496	3/4	11356	182	23	11	0	14	-20
651.2	20.518	254	11610	202	25	13	U	15	-22
655.1	20.538	232	11842	182	23	11	U	14	-20
659.0	20.560	240	12083	182	23	11	ö	14	-20
663.3	20.582	254	12337	202	25	13	U	15	-22
60/.1	20.602	232	12569	182	23	11	0	14	-20
6/1.0	20.623	232	12802	182	23	11	0	14	-20
6/5.3	20.645	254	13056	202	25	13	0	15	-22
679.2	20.665	232	13288	182	23	11	0	14	-20
682	20.682	187	13476	133	1/	8	8	10	-15
. I									
				7057	002	400	0.0	600	00.4
	Location [m] 512 518.8 522.7 526.6 530.8 534.7 538.6 542.9 542.9 546.8 554.9 558.8 562.7 557.0 579.0 570.8 574.7 579.0 579.0 588.7 574.7 579.0 588.7 579.0 598.8 603.1 606.9 610.8 601.1 606.9 610.8 601.5 602.9 627.2 631.0 622.9 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 627.2 633.0 633.2 659.2 657.2 659.2 657.2 6	Air   Location Temperature   [m] [deg C]   512 19.5   518.8 19.541   522.7 19.569   526.6 19.602   534.7 19.660   538.6 19.632   542.9 19.721   546.8 19.748   550.6 19.815   558.8 19.842   562.7 19.873   567.0 19.901   570.8 19.927   574.7 19.958   579.0 19.986   582.9 20.011   586.7 20.944   603.1 20.162   604.9 20.103   598.8 20.134   615.1 20.244   603.1 20.162   619.0 20.220   615.1 20.244   631.0 20.388   631.0 20.388   631.0 20.388   632.2 20.338	Air Air   Location Temperature Delta Q   [m] [deg C] [W]   512 19.5 8   518.8 19.541 471   522.7 19.569 320   526.6 19.602 370   530.8 19.632 345   534.7 19.660 314   536.6 19.692 364   542.9 19.721 331   546.8 19.748 316   555.8 19.842 302   562.7 19.873 360   567.0 19.901 319   570.8 19.927 296   574.7 19.958 346   579.0 19.986 321   582.9 20.011 290   586.7 20.042 344   591.0 20.069 315   594.9 20.103 384   594.9 20.103 384   592.9 20.388	Air Location Air Temperature [m] Delta Q Integrated Q   [m] [deg C] [W] [W]   512 19.5 8 8   518.8 19.541 471 479   522.7 19.569 320 798   526.6 19.602 370 148   533.7 19.660 314 1827   538.6 19.721 331 2523   546.8 19.748 316 2838   550.6 19.815 405 3602   558.8 19.842 302 303   562.7 19.971 319 4582   570.8 19.927 296 4978   570.7 19.998 346 5224   570.8 19.927 296 4978   574.7 19.958 346 5244   579.0 19.986 321 5545   582.9 20.011 200 5835   586.7 20.042 <	Air Air CF Estimated   Location Temperature Delta Q Integrated Q Lighting   [m] [deg C] [W] [W] [W]   512 19.5 8 8 0   518.8 19.541 471 479 320   522.7 19.569 320 798 182   536.6 19.602 370 1168 182   538.6 19.632 345 1514 200   538.6 19.721 331 2523 202   546.8 19.748 316 2838 182   550.6 19.780 358 3196 182   554.9 19.815 405 3602 202   558.8 19.842 302 303 182   567.0 19.901 319 4582 202   570.8 19.927 296 4878 182   574.7 19.958 346 5244 182	Air Location CF Estimated Loads   Image: Construct of the system of	Air Location Air Temperature Delta Q Integrated Q Lighting People Equipment Equipment   [m] [deg C] [W] [W] [W] [W] [W] [W]   512.1 9.5 8 8 0 0 0   522.7 19.569 320 798 182 23 11   530.8 19.602 370 1168 182 23 11   530.8 19.622 344 1514 202 25 13   534.7 19.660 314 1827 182 23 11   540.6 19.780 358 196 182 23 11   554.9 19.815 405 3602 202 25 13   562.7 19.873 360 4263 182 23 11   574.8 19.927 296 4878 182 23 11   574.7 19.958 346 5244 18	Air Delta Q. Integrated Q. Ughting People Equipment Exit Signs   [m] 195 8 0 0 [W] [	stributions CF Estimated Loads   Location Temperature Delta Q Integrated Q Uighting People Equipment Exit Signs Power Lines   [m] Ideg C) [W]

Temperature and Net Load Di				Undulator Sy	stem Estimat	ed Loads				
				Magnet Water						
			Tunnel Wall	Lines						
	LCW for	Input Air	and Floor	Convective	Quad Power	Translation		Undulator	Diagnostic	Diagnostic
Location Name	Beam Dump	Supply Duct	Load	load	Lines	Motors	CAM Motors	Motion IOC	Motors	Motor IOC
	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]
Discharge/ Thermal Barrier	0		0					-	-	
useg 1	49		-76	-16	36	11	27	0	0	0
useg 2	28		-43	-9	34	11	27	0	0	0
useg 3	28		-43	-9	32	11	27	7	27	7
useg 4	31		-48	-10	30	11	27	0	0	0
useg 5	28		-43	-9	28	11	27	0	0	0
useg 6	28		-43	-9	26	11	27	7	27	7
useg 7	31		-48	-10	24	11	27	0	0	0
useg 8	28		-43	-9	22	11	27	0	0	0
useg 9	28		-43	-9	20	11	27	7	27	7
useg 10	31		-48	-10	18	11	27	0	0	0
useg 11	28		-43	-9	16	11	27	0	0	0
useg 12	28		-43	-9	14	11	27	7	27	7
useg 13	31		-48	-10	12	11	27	0	0	0
useg 14	28		-43	-9	10	11	27	0	0	0
useg 15	28		-43	-9	8	11	27	7	27	7
useg 16	31		-48	-10	6	11	27	0	0	0
useg 17	28		-43	-9	4	11	27	0	0	0
useg 18	28		-43	-9	6	11	27	7	27	7
useg 19	31		-48	-10	8	11	27	0	0	0
useg 20	28		-43	-9	10	11	27	0	0	0
useg 21	28		-43	-9	12	11	27	7	27	7
useg 22	31		-48	-10	14	11	27	0	0	0
useg 23	28		-43	-9	16	11	27	0	0	0
useg 24	28		-43	-9	18	11	27	7	27	7
useg 25	31		-48	-10	20	11	27	0	0	0
useg 26	28		-43	-9	22	11	27	0	0	0
useg 27	28		-43	-9	24	11	27	7	27	7
useg 28	31		-48	-10	26	11	27	0	0	0
useg 29	28		-43	-9	28	11	27	0	0	0
useg 30	28		-43	-9	30	11	27	7	27	7
useg 31	31		-48	-10	32	11	27	0	0	0
useg 32	28		-43	-9	34	11	27	0	0	0
useg 33	28		-43	-9	36	11	27	7	27	7
virtual useg 34	31		-48	-10	36					
virtual useg 35	28		-43	-9	36					
virtual useg 36	28		-43	-9	36					
virtual useg 37	31		-48	-10	36					
virtual useg 38	28		-43	-9	36					
virtual useg 39	28		-43	-9	36					
virtual useg 40	31		-48	-10	36					
virtual useg 41	28		-43	-9	36					
Return / Thermal Barrier	20		-32	-7	36					
Load Totals										
	1224		-1902	-408	1000	350	875	77	292	77

Temperature and Net Load Di										
		F.O.	Photodiode	-						
	Photomultipli	Radiation	radiation loss	Camera			Corrector	BPM Down	BFM Sensor	
Location Name	er	Loss sytem	system	motor	Camera	Quad Magnet	magnets	Convertor	electronics	HLS
	[W]		[W]	[W]	[W]	[W]			[W]	[W]
Discharge/ Thermal Barrier	10									
useg 1	10	5	10	0	0	0	0.0	20	5	20
useg 2	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 3	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 4	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 5	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 6	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 7	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 8	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 9	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 10	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 11	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 12	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 13	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 14	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 15	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 16	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 17	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 18	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 19	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 20	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 21	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 22	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 23	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 24	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 25	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 26	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 27	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 28	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 29	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 30	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
useg 31	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	13
useg 32	10	5	10	0	0	0	0	20	5	12
useg 33	10	5	10	6	6	0	0	20	5	12
virtual useg 34										13
virtual useg 35										12
virtual useg 36										12
virtual useg 37										13
virtual useg 38										12
virtual useg 39										12
virtual useg 40										13
virtual useg 41										12
Return / Thermal Barrier										8
Load Totals										
	330	165	330	66	66	0	0	660	165	510

Temperature and Net Load Di		
Location Name	WPM	Cable Drops
		[W]
Discharge/ Thermal Barrier		
useg 1		2
useg 2		2
useg 3		2
useg 4		2
useg 5		2
useg 6		2
useg 7		2
useg 8		2
useg 9		2
useg 10	80	2
useg 11		2
useg 12		2
useg 13		2
useg 14		2
useg 15		2
useg 16		2
useg 17		2
useg 18		2
useg 19		2
useg 20	80	2
useg 21		2
useg 22		2
useg 23		2
useg 24		2
useg 25		2
useg 26		2
useg 27		2
useg 28		2
usea 29		2
useq 30	80	2
useg 31		2
useg 32		2
useq 33		2
virtual useg 34		
virtual useg 35		
virtual used 36		
virtual useg 37		
virtual useg 38		
virtual useg 39		
virtual useg 40		
virtual useg 41		
Return / Thermal Barrier		
Load Totals		
	240	66