

Peer Review of Austin Djang's Presentation

By Zach Porter

Engineering at SLAC:

Designing and Constructing Experimental Devices for the SSRL

CONTENT

Was the presentation informative?

I got a lot out of this talk. I found his review of the beamline engineers' methodology to be insightful for my prior interactions with them as a user this past summer. The first (heater) project was well motivated and excitingly discussed. The grating holder was particularly interesting to me because it seemed to be perfectly engineered for the task at hand, yet simple enough that Austen's time and efforts seemed well-spent.

ORGANIZATION & CLARITY

Was it well organized?

Yes, Austin successfully described multiple projects, which was a difficult task from an organizational standpoint.

Did it have a clear focus?

Yes, it was all of his different projects, especially focusing on his most complex one. The outline seemed necessary, and the mechanical engineering motivations were always central to the talk.

Was it well presented?

Austen utilized great speaking techniques, and maintained a clear voice. He is a good presenter in general and appeared comfortable in front of the full room.

Were the visual aides good?

There were many pictures in the slides, but I thought they were well chosen. People loved holding the two projects he passed around the room.

SPEAKER

Was the speaker prepared?

He definitely prepared his presentation well, it seemed polished.

Was she/he in control?

Yes, he seemed quite confident.

Did she/he make eye contact with the audience?

Yes, spoke to is audience and made eye contact with several people, me included.

Did she/he engage you in her/his story?

For sure! I thought that based on my engineering background it would have been more appealing to me, but based on the sheer quantity of questions, it was very engaging to everyone! Great job!