Elimination of X-Ray Diffraction through Stimulated X-Ray Transmission
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X-ray diffractive imaging with laterally coherent x-ray free electron laser (X-FEL) pulses is increasingly utilized to obtain ultrafast snapshots of matter. Here we report the amazing disappearance of single-shot charge and magnetic diffraction patterns recorded with resonantly tuned, narrow bandwidth X-FEL pulses. Our experimental results reveal the exquisite sensitivity of single-shot charge and magnetic diffraction patterns of a magnetic film to the onset of field-induced stimulated elastic x-ray forward scattering. The loss in diffraction contrast, measured over three orders of magnitude in intensity, is in remarkable quantitative agreement with a recent theory that is extended to include diffraction.

Over the last decade, the technique of coherent x-ray diffractive imaging has been developed to understand states of matter that are neither crystalline nor stationary and are often confined to nanometer dimensions [ ]. The technique can also circumvent structural x-ray damage by use of femtosecond pulses from x-ray free electron lasers (X-FELs) that are faster than atomic motion [ ]. Diffraction imaging of atomic structure with hard x-rays (∼10 keV) typically utilizes non-resonant Thomson scattering arising from the collective elastic x-ray response of the atomic electron clouds. Soft x-ray (∼1 keV) imaging of nanoscale domains usually employs resonant scattering based on specific core-to-valence transitions, which offer enhanced cross sections, and elemental, chemical and magnetic specificity.

Single shot diffractive imaging necessarily requires high intensity X-FEL pulses. By now, various high field effects induced by such pulses have been reported, such as multiple ionization [ ], self amplified spontaneous x-ray emission [ ] and stimulated x-ray Raman scattering [ ]. Recently, it has been predicted theoretically that it is possible to drive resonant core-to-valence transitions to induce x-ray transparency [ ]. Here we provide experimental proof of the existence of stimulated elastic scattering into the forward direction of the incident beam by blocking it to the loss of the out-of-beam diffracted intensity.

Using a coherent imaging geometry and 50 fs X-FEL pulses from the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS), monochromatized and tuned to the Co L3 resonance at 778 eV, we observe the gradual disappearance of magnetic diffraction contrast and the reduction of charge diffraction contrast above an intensity of 10 mJ/cm²/pulse, well below the multiple ionization threshold. Our experimental results, covering nearly three orders of magnitude of incident intensities, are in quantitative agreement with the theory of Ref. [ ], extended to include diffraction, without adjustment of parameters.

Experiments were performed at the soft x-ray (SXR) station of LCLS using linearly polarized x-rays in the geometry illustrated in Fig. 1. The incident self amplification...
fied spontaneous emission (SASE) pulses of about 50 fs full width at half maximum (FWHM) were sent through a grating monochromator, resulting in a photon energy of 778±0.1 eV as discussed below, and focused onto the sample by a Kirkpatrick-Baez optic to a spot size of 10 µm FWHM. The Co/Pd multilayer sample, containing magnetic worm domains with perpendicular magnetization directions [7 8] was illuminated through a 1.45 µm diameter circular aperture in a Au film. Five reference holes of 100 nm diameter, arranged in a pentagon, were ion beam drilled through the entire sample/mask to create a reference pattern [7 8]. The centrally transmitted beam was blocked by a beam stop in front of the detector.

The transmission of linear polarized x-rays through a magnetic sample, which consists of domains with opposite magnetization directions along the x-ray propagation direction, is best described by considering the transmission of the orthogonal left and right circular components. The transmitted intensity, which is determined by the sum of the transmitted right and left circular field amplitudes, is not affected by the magnetic structure. The transmitted intensity in the forward direction (momentum transfer $q = 0$) is therefore only due to the charge response. Absorption by the charge density causes a uniform attenuation of the Airy ring diffraction pattern created by the circular aperture before the sample. A magnetic effect arises only from a change in the phase of the transmitted field. When viewed in a linear polarization basis, the phase change creates a (weak) field component that is rotated by $±90^\circ$, giving rise to the magnetooptical Faraday effect [7 8]. Oppositely magnetized domains give rise to opposite $90^\circ$ rotations, and the relative $180^\circ$ phase difference then leads to an interference pattern at finite momentum transfer $q$. We distinguish this pure magnetic diffraction pattern and its intensity from the Airy diffraction pattern caused by the aperture before the sample whose intensity is determined by charge-based absorption in the sample with preservation of the incident polarization.

Diffraction patterns were recorded by a CCD camera, placed 490 mm downstream of the sample. For each sample, a baseline diffraction pattern was recorded with 360 x-ray pulses of low fluence ($\sim 10^{10}$ W/cm²) at two energies, on-resonance at 778 eV, and at 765 eV, where magnetic scattering is absent and only the pure Airy ring pattern is observed. The gas attenuation was then reduced, and a single shot high intensity diffraction pattern was recorded at 778 eV with fluences ranging between $10^{12} - 10^{13}$ W/cm². The energy per pulse was measured with two transmission gas detectors. We also calibrated the response of the CCD detector which operated in single photon counting mode. This allowed an independent shot-to-shot intensity measurement through the cross-correlation intensity between the five reference holes [7 8]. We estimate error bars of <30% for the absolute and <5% for the relative intensities.

Characteristic low and high intensity diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. 2 (a). We only show half of the patterns since they exhibit inversion symmetry about the center. In the low-intensity (0.6 mJ/cm²/pulse) reference pattern, the magnetic speckles are clearly visible and distinct from the Airy rings, which originate from the circular aperture and are attenuated by the film. The corresponding high-intensity (272 mJ/cm²/pulse) diffraction pattern reveals a dramatic decrease of the magnetic diffraction intensity. In Fig. 2 (b) we show the intensity of the patterns in (a), azimuthally integrated around the beam direction, as a function of momentum transfer $q$. They reveal that in addition to the magnetic diffraction signal around $q = 0.03$ nm⁻¹, the intensity of all Airy rings is also reduced by the same relative amount at high incident intensity. The intensity of the central Airy pattern was blocked by the beamstop and not recorded.

Simulations of the incident pulse structure in the energy and time domain [7 8], before and after the monochromator, are shown in Fig. 7. The 50 fs SASE pulses of 778 eV central energy before the monochromator shown in Fig. 7 (a) and (b) consist of many coherent spikes in both the time and energy domain, arising from ordered regions in the x-ray generating electron bunch. The statistical coherence time of these pulses is only about 0.5 fs FWHM [7 8] and the total bandwidth is about 5 eV FWHM. The bandwidth was reduced and the coherence time increased by use of the SXR monochro-
The intensity $I_{\text{trans}}^p = (I_{\text{trans}}^+ + I_{\text{trans}}^-)/2$ yields the x-ray absorption spectrum (XAS), which is entirely determined by the charge density of the sample. The difference intensity $I_{\text{trans}}^- - I_{\text{trans}}^+$ with $I_0^+ = I_0^-$ is referred to as the x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) spectrum [? ]. In Eq. (??) the spontaneous optical constant $\beta_0^p$ is given by,

$$\beta_0^p = \frac{\lambda^3 \rho_0 \Gamma_p}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{\Gamma}{2 - \frac{\Gamma}{2}} \right)$$

(2)

For the Co L_3 resonance we have $\lambda = 1.59$ nm and the polarization dependent dipole transition widths are $\Gamma_p^+ = 1.208$ meV, $\Gamma_p^y = 0.96$ meV, and $\Gamma_p^x = 0.715$ meV, and the core hole life time width is $\Gamma = 430$ meV (see Table 1 in Ref. [? ]). The non-linear (NL) contribution $\beta^p_{NL}$ is given by the equilibrium expression [? ],

$$\beta^p_{NL} = -2\beta_0^p \frac{I_0^p \Gamma_p^x \mathcal{G}_{coh} \lambda^3/(8\pi^2 \epsilon)}{(\hbar \omega - \epsilon_0)^2 + (1/2)^2 + I_0^p \Gamma_p^x \mathcal{G}_{coh} \lambda^3/(4\pi^2 \epsilon)}$$

(3)

Here $\mathcal{G}_{coh} = N a^2/(4\pi A)$ is the enhancement factor for coherent forward scattering by a sample with atomic areal density $N_a/A = \rho_0 d$, where $d$ is the sample thickness.

At high incident intensity, Eq. (??) has the limit $\beta^p_{NL} \rightarrow \beta_0^p$ and the sample becomes transparent. The polarization dependent optical constant equations can be redefined in terms of a polarization independent charge response, $\delta$, $\beta$, and a magnetic response, $\Delta\delta$, $\Delta\beta$, that depends on the difference in transmission of left and right circular polarization components according to,

$$\delta = \frac{\delta^+ - \delta^-}{2} = \delta_0 + \delta_{NL}, \quad \beta = \frac{\beta^+ - \beta^-}{2} = \beta_0 + \beta_{NL}$$

(4)

$$\Delta\delta = \frac{\delta^+ - \delta^-}{2} = \Delta\delta_0 + \Delta\delta_{NL}, \quad \Delta\beta = \frac{\beta^+ - \beta^-}{2} = \Delta\beta_0 + \Delta\beta_{NL}$$

We also have $(\beta^+_{NL} + \beta^-_{NL})/2 = \beta_0^p = \beta_0$ and similarly for $\delta$.

In the presence of stimulation, the ratio of the stimulated to spontaneous XMCD intensity, is given by,

$$\frac{I_{\text{XMCDD}}^{\text{stim}}}{I_{\text{XMCDD}}^{\text{spont}}} = \frac{e^{-2(\beta_0^p + \beta_{NL})k d} \sinh[2(\Delta\beta_0 + \Delta\beta_{NL})k d]}{e^{-2\beta_0^p k d} \sinh[2(\Delta\beta_0)k d]}$$

(5)

The XMCD signal only occurs for incident circular polarization ($\Delta\beta \neq 0$) and is confined to the forward direction $q = 0$. It is zero for linear polarization as used here and in any case would have been blocked by the beam stop.

The diffracted ($q \neq 0$), Airy and magnetic speckle (which exists even for linear polarization) patterns do not interfere for linear polarization as illustrated in Fig. ???. For an aperture of area $A = \pi R^2$ the Airy pattern at a detector at distance $z_0$ from the film, in the presence of stimulation is given by,

$$I_{\text{Airy}}^p(q) = F_{NL}(q) I_0^p \frac{A^2}{L_\lambda^2 z_0^3} e^{-2\beta_0^p k d} \frac{2J_1(R q)}{R_q}$$

(6)
Here $I_{\text{mag}}^{\text{spon}}(q)$ is the spontaneous pattern and $F_{\text{NL}}(q)$ is a non-linear $q$-dependent function given by,

$$F_{\text{NL}}(q) = \frac{1 - e^{-2kq(\beta_0 + \beta_{\text{NL}})}}{1 - e^{-2kq\beta_0}}$$

(7)

It represents the decrease of the XAS contrast $1 - I_{\text{trans}}^{0}/I_0^0$ (see Eq. (??)) upon stimulation, with the spontaneous ($\beta_{\text{NL}} = 0$) value $F_{\text{NL}}(q) = 1$ decreasing to the stimulated ($\beta_{\text{NL}} = -\beta_0$) value $F_{\text{NL}}(q) = 0$. The decrease of absorption arises from the preferential exponential growth of the dominant intensity within the central Airy cone, defined by the momentum transfer $q < q_0$, where $q_0 = 1.22\pi R$ is the first node of the Airy pattern. Energy conservation then requires a counterintuitive decrease of all (out-of-beam) diffracted intensities at $q > q_0$. In short, the central Airy peak grows at the expense of the outer rings. The intensity in the stimulated magnetic diffraction pattern in the region $q > q_0$ is given by,

$$I_{\text{mag}}^{\text{stim}}(q) = F_{\text{NL}}(q) C_{\text{NL}} I_0^0 \frac{A^2}{\lambda^2} e^{-2\delta_0 kd} |D_{\tilde{m}_z}(q)|^2$$

(8)

Here

$$D_{\tilde{m}_z}(q) = \frac{1}{A} \int_A \tilde{m}_z(x, y) e^{-i(q_x x + q_y y)} \, dx \, dy$$

(9)

is the Fourier transform of the magnetization pattern described by the unit orientation function in different domains given by $\tilde{m}_z(x, y) = \pm 1$. The non-linear information is contained in the first two terms in (??), with $F_{\text{NL}}(q)$ given by (??) and

$$C_{\text{NL}} = \cosh [2(\Delta\beta_0 + \Delta\beta_{\text{NL}})kd] - \cos [2(\Delta\delta_0 + \Delta\delta_{\text{NL}})kd]$$

(10)

In the spontaneous limit we have $C_{\text{NL}} \rightarrow \cosh [2\Delta\beta_0 kd] - \cos [2\Delta\delta_0 kd]$, so that the intensity of the stimulated relative to the spontaneous magnetic diffraction pattern in the region $q > q_0$ is given by,

$$\frac{I_{\text{mag}}^{\text{stim}}}{I_{\text{mag}}^{\text{spon}}} = \frac{1 - e^{-2(\beta_0 + \beta_{\text{NL}})kd}}{1 - e^{-2\beta_0 kd}} \times \frac{\cosh [2(\Delta\beta_0 + \Delta\beta_{\text{NL}})kd] - \cos [2(\Delta\delta_0 + \Delta\delta_{\text{NL}})kd]}{\cosh [2\Delta\beta_0 kd] - \cos [2\Delta\delta_0 kd]}$$

(11)

Results for the calculated diffraction pattern, using the experimental geometry and a sample with a similar worm domain pattern, are shown in Fig. ?? (a) for two intensities differing by three orders of magnitude as in Fig. ???. Both $\beta_0$ and $\beta_{\text{NL}}$ were calculated with the stated values of the parameters (same as in Table 1 of [? ]), and convolution of the Lorentzian lineshape with a Gaussian of FWHM 1.4 eV to account for the band-structure broadening of the natural Lorentzian linewidth of 430 meV (see Fig. 1 of [? ]). In excellent agreement with the experiment results, the magnetic diffraction contrast is seen to be greatly diminished at the higher incident intensity of 270 mJ/cm²/pulse and the Airy pattern is also less pronounced (see inner rings).
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**FIG. 4:** (a) Calculated low intensity (left half) and high intensity (right half) diffraction patterns revealing the decrease of the contrast due to stimulated scattering. (b) Comparison of the observed magnetic (red) and Airy diffraction contrast (blue) and calculated change (gray) upon stimulation. The calculated XAS and XMCD intensities are the same as in Ref. [? ] but include pulse-to-pulse statistical variations in coherence time and photon energy for a given total pulse intensity.

In Fig. ?? (b) we compare the experimental intensity-dependent magnetic (red circles) and Airy ring (blue squares) diffraction contrasts with our simulations shown in gray. The experimental contrasts were determined by analysis of radial diffraction intensity plots as shown in Fig. ???. The red data points represent the magnetic diffraction contrast around $q = 0.03 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ relative to the spontaneous contrast recorded at low intensity, and the blue data points represent the relative “charge” contrast which is reflected by the intensity of the Airy rings for $q > 0.007 \text{ nm}^{-1}$. The simulations included statistical variations in coherence time for a given pulse intensity [? ], which is seen to be relatively small. The contrasts were calculated by means of Eqs. (??), (??) and (??) without any adjustment of the parameters given in Ref. [? ]. This shows convincingly that the observed contrast reduction is indeed due to stimulated forward scattering.
The characteristic disappearance of both charge and magnetic contrast cannot be explained by ultrafast demagnetization during the 50 fs x-ray pulse itself. Demagnetization of Co/Pd after optical excitation has been observed on longer timescales >200 fs and it is typically limited to about 50% [? ]. At our relatively low intensities, less than 1% of the atoms in the sample are in an excited state and we can also exclude multi-ionization as the reason for the contrast loss, as was previously suggested to occur at higher incident intensities [? ].

Our results show that at an intensity of about 10 mJ/cm$^2$/pulse, the coherent incident field begins to control the temporal evolution of the electronic 2$p_{1/2} \leftrightarrow 3d$ core-valence cycles, and stimulated decays begin to dominate over spontaneous Auger and radiative decays. Absorption and diffraction are progressively compensated by stimulated emission in the direction of the incident driving field. More generally, our results show that the control of nuclear spin transitions in the neV range utilized in nuclear magnetic resonance and the optical laser control of valence transitions in the eV range, can be extended to the control of atomic core-to-valence transitions in the keV range. The latter offer elemental, chemical, and magnetic specificity [? ].
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