

---

# The NLC Design Group

C. Adolphsen, R. Aiello, R. Alley, R. Assmann, K.L. Bane, T. Barklow, V. Bharadwaj, J. Bogart, G.B. Bowden, M. Breidenbach, K.L. Brown, D.L. Burke, Y. Cai, G. Caryotakis, R.L. Cassel, P. Chen, S.L. Clark, J.E. Clendenin, C. Corvin, F.-J. Decker, A. Donaldson, R.A. Early, K.R. Eppley, S. Ecklund, J. Eichner, P. Emma, L. Eriksson, Z.D. Farkas, A.S. Fisher, C. Foundoulis, W.R. Fowkes, J. Frisch, R.W. Fuller, L. Genova, S. Gold, G. Gross, S. Hanna, S. Hartman, S.A. Heifets, L. Hendrickson, R.H. Helm, H.A. Hoag, J. Hodgson, J. Humphrey, R. Humphrey, J. Irwin, R.K. Jobe, R.M. Jones, L.P. Keller, K. Ko, R.F. Koontz, E. Kraft, P. Krejcik, A. Kulikov, T.L. Lavine, Z. Li, W. Linebarger, G.A. Loew, R.J. Loewen, T.W. Markiewicz, T. Maruyama, T.S. Mattison, B. McKee, R. Messner, R.H. Miller, M.G. Minty, W. Moshhammer, M. Munro, C.D. Nantista, E.M. Nelson, W.R. Nelson, C.K. Ng, Y. Nosochkov, D. Palmer, R.B. Palmer, J.M. Paterson, C. Pearson, R.M. Phillips, N. Phinney, R. Pope, T.O. Raubenheimer,<sup>1</sup> J. Rifkin, S.H. Rokni, M.C. Ross, R.E. Ruland, R.D. Ruth, A. Saab, H. Schwarz, B. Scott, J.C. Sheppard, H. Shoaee, S. Smith, W.L. Spence, C.M. Spencer, J.E. Spencer, D. Sprehn, G. Stupakov, H. Tang, S.G. Tantawi, P. Tenenbaum, F. Tian, K.A. Thompson, J. Turner, T. Usher, A.E. Vlieks, D.R. Walz, J.W. Wang, A.W. Weidemann, D.H. Whittum, P.B. Wilson, Z. Wilson, M. Woodley, M. Woods, Y.T. Yan, A.D. Yermian, F. Zimmermann; *Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California, USA*

A. Jackson, W.A. Barletta, J.M. Byrd, S. Chattopadhyay, J.N. Corlett, W.M. Fawley, M. Furman, E. Henestroza, R.A. Jacobsen, K.-J. Kim, H. Li, H. Murayama, L. Reginato, R.A. Rimmer, D. Robin, M. Ronan, A.M. Sessler, D. Vanecek, J.S. Wurtele, M. Xie, S.S. Yu, A.A. Zholents; *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA*

L. Bertolini, K. Van Bibber, D. Clem, F. Deadrick, T. Houck, M. Perry, G.A. Westenskow; *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA*

M. Akemoto, T. Higo, K. Higashi, K. Kubo, K. Oide, K. Yokoya; *KEK National Laboratory, Tsukuba, Japan*

L. Rinolfi; *CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*

J.A. Holt; *Fermilab National Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA*

V. Telnov; *Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia*

T. Takahashi, T. Ohgaki; *Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan*

J. Rosenzweig; *University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA*

S. Lidia; *University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA*

N.M. Kroll; *University of California, San Diego, San Diego, California, USA*

A.J. Dragt, R.L. Gluckstern; *University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA*

S.R. Hertzbach; *University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts, USA*

G. Giordano; *University of Milano, Milan, Italy*

R.E. Frey; *University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, USA*

D.D. Meyerhofer; *University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA*

---

<sup>1</sup>Editor-in-chief.



---

# Acknowledgments

This design for the Next Linear Collider (NLC) relies heavily on the first linear collider—the Stanford Linear Collider (SLC)—and on all the people who proposed, designed, and commissioned this pioneering accelerator. Without their work, none of this would have been possible (or necessary). In addition, the design owes much to the extensive international research effort that is investigating the different technological paths to a future linear collider. Finally, the NLC Design Group is a list of people, authors and non-authors, who have contributed significantly to this design; our apology in advance to anyone whose name may have inadvertently been omitted.

In the process of completing this “Zeroth-Order Design” for the NLC, we have held two internal reviews and, more recently, an international external review. All of these have been very important to the design and we thank all of those who assisted. In particular, we thank the members of the external review panel which consisted of: Gerry Dugan, Helen Edwards, Hans Frischholz, David Gurd, Tom Himel, Steve Holmes, Norbert Holtkamp, John Ives, Robert Jameson, Katsunobu Oide, Satoshi Ozaki, John Rees, Nobu Toge. A number of useful comments were made, some of which already have been incorporated into the design. Most members of the internal review committees are listed in the contributors list; additional useful suggestions were given by H. DeStaebler, J.T. Seeman, R.H. Siemann, U. Wienands, and M. Zisman, along with many other members of the LBL, LLNL, and SLAC communities.

Finally, we would like to thank Angie Seymour, Marge Bangali, and Mary Litynski for administrative support, Terry Anderson, Sylvia MacBride, and Jim Wahl for creating the illustrations, and Jamie Walker and Laurie Gennari for producing this document.



---

---

# Contents

- 1 Introduction 1**
  - 1.1 Goals for the Next Linear Collider . . . . . 1
  - 1.2 Accelerator Design Choices . . . . . 3
  - 1.3 The Next Linear Collider . . . . . 8
  - 1.4 Outlook for the Next Linear Collider . . . . . 15
  - 1.5 NLC Systems Overview . . . . . 15
  
- 2 Electron Source 23**
  - 2.1 Introduction . . . . . 25
  - 2.2 Polarized Electron Gun . . . . . 28
  - 2.3 NLC Laser System . . . . . 34
  - 2.4 NLC Electron Injector Beam Dynamics . . . . . 39
  - 2.5 Buncher Cavities . . . . . 49
  - 2.6 Positron Drive Linac . . . . . 49
  - 2.7 Diagnostics . . . . . 51
  - 2.8 Operation . . . . . 53
  - 2.9 Conclusion . . . . . 55
  - 2.A Polarized  $e^-$  Beam Photocathode RF Gun Development for the NLC . . . . . 56
  - 2.B Charge Limit and its Implications on High-Polarization Long-Pulse Charge ... . . . . 71
  
- 3 Positron Source 79**
  - 3.1 Introduction . . . . . 81
  - 3.2 Positron Yield Simulation . . . . . 82
  - 3.3 Drive Electron Accelerator . . . . . 86
  - 3.4 Positron Production Target . . . . . 88
  - 3.5 Positron Collection System . . . . . 93

---

3.6	Beam Dynamics and Transport . . . . .	94
3.7	Positron Linac . . . . .	98
3.8	Radiation Control Issues . . . . .	98
3.9	Magnets . . . . .	101
3.10	Diagnostics and Instrumentation . . . . .	101
3.11	Feedback and Stability . . . . .	104
3.12	Operations and Tuning Procedures . . . . .	105
3.13	Control System Needs . . . . .	105
3.14	Other Considerations . . . . .	106
3.15	Summary . . . . .	106
<b>4</b>	<b>NLC Damping Rings</b>	<b>109</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	111
4.2	System Overview and Parameter Determination . . . . .	112
4.3	Lattice Design . . . . .	126
4.4	Collective Limitations . . . . .	158
4.5	RF Systems . . . . .	186
4.6	Vacuum Systems . . . . .	202
4.7	Feedback and Feedforward Systems . . . . .	208
4.8	Vibration and Stability . . . . .	213
4.9	Alignment and Supports . . . . .	216
4.10	Magnet Design and Power Supplies . . . . .	219
4.11	Instrumentation for the Main Damping Rings . . . . .	236
4.12	Conclusions and Comments . . . . .	238
<b>5</b>	<b>Bunch Compressors and Prelinac</b>	<b>245</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	246
5.2	Requirements and Design Options . . . . .	247
5.3	System Design . . . . .	252
5.4	Longitudinal Dynamics . . . . .	269
5.5	Transverse Dynamics . . . . .	285

---

5.6	Tolerances . . . . .	296
5.7	Conclusions and Comments . . . . .	300
<b>6</b>	<b>Low-Frequency Linacs and Compressors</b>	<b>305</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	306
6.2	Beam-Loading Compensation . . . . .	306
6.3	Numerical simulation of beam-loading compensation . . . . .	310
6.4	Klystrons . . . . .	319
6.5	Dipole Wakefields . . . . .	320
<b>7</b>	<b>Main Linacs: Design and Dynamics</b>	<b>327</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	329
7.2	Parameters and Specifications . . . . .	330
7.3	System Design . . . . .	333
7.4	Emittance Dynamics . . . . .	350
7.5	Alignment, Stability, and Feedback . . . . .	375
7.6	Simulation Studies . . . . .	389
7.7	Tolerances . . . . .	412
7.8	Operations and Machine Protection System . . . . .	413
7.9	Linac Components . . . . .	414
7.10	Instrumentation . . . . .	423
7.11	Discussion . . . . .	431
<b>8</b>	<b>RF System for the Main Linacs</b>	<b>437</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	439
8.2	Accelerator Structure . . . . .	448
8.3	RF Pulse Compression and Power Transmission . . . . .	475
8.4	High-Power Klystrons . . . . .	481
8.5	Klystron Pulse Modulator . . . . .	496
8.6	RF Drive and Phasing Systems . . . . .	509
8.7	RF Protection and Monitoring Systems . . . . .	524

---

<b>9</b>	<b>Collimation Systems</b>	<b>533</b>
9.1	Introduction to Beam Delivery Systems . . . . .	534
9.2	Post-Linac Collimation . . . . .	538
9.3	Pre-Linac Collimation . . . . .	607
9.4	Bunch Length Collimation . . . . .	610
<b>10</b>	<b>IP switch and big bend</b>	<b>617</b>
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	618
10.2	The IP Switch . . . . .	618
10.3	The Big Bend . . . . .	622
<b>11</b>	<b>Final Focus</b>	<b>631</b>
11.1	Introduction . . . . .	633
11.2	Parameters and Specifications . . . . .	634
11.3	Skew Correction and Diagnostic Section . . . . .	636
11.4	Beta-Matching Section . . . . .	640
11.5	Chromatic Correction and Final Transformer . . . . .	647
11.6	The Final Doublet . . . . .	712
11.7	Crossing Angle, Crab Cavity, and Solenoid . . . . .	735
11.8	The Beam Extraction and Diagnostic System (The Dump Line) . . . . .	742
11.9	Conclusions and Comments . . . . .	768
11.A	The Beam Dumps . . . . .	769
<b>12</b>	<b>The Interaction Region</b>	<b>783</b>
12.1	Introduction . . . . .	784
12.2	The Luminosity Spectrum . . . . .	785
12.3	Detector Background Sources . . . . .	791
12.4	Detector Issues . . . . .	802
12.5	Conclusions . . . . .	818
<b>13</b>	<b>Multiple Bunch Issues</b>	<b>821</b>
13.1	Introduction . . . . .	822



---

13.2	Major Impacts of Multibunching . . . . .	823
13.3	Machine Protection and Operations . . . . .	829
13.4	Instrumentation Specifications . . . . .	830
13.5	Experimental tests related to multibunch issues . . . . .	830
13.6	Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	831
<b>14</b>	<b>Control System</b>	<b>835</b>
14.1	Introduction . . . . .	836
14.2	NLC Requirements . . . . .	836
14.3	Architectural implications . . . . .	841
14.4	The Control System Model . . . . .	842
<b>15</b>	<b>Instrumentation</b>	<b>853</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>Machine Protection Systems</b>	<b>855</b>
16.1	Introduction . . . . .	856
16.2	Single Pulse Induced Failure . . . . .	856
<b>17</b>	<b>NLC Reliability Considerations</b>	<b>869</b>
17.1	Goals . . . . .	870
17.2	Reliability and Availability . . . . .	870
17.3	Target NLC Availability . . . . .	871
17.4	NLC Machine Availability and System Reliability . . . . .	872
17.5	A Formal Solution . . . . .	874
17.6	Three Examples: Klystrons, Power Supplies, and Motors . . . . .	876
17.7	Summary . . . . .	877
<b>18</b>	<b>NLC Conventional Facilities</b>	<b>881</b>
18.1	Introduction . . . . .	882
18.2	Site . . . . .	882
18.3	Campus . . . . .	883
18.4	Injectors . . . . .	883

18.5	Linac . . . . .	883
18.6	Detectors . . . . .	884
<b>A</b>	<b>An RF Power Source Upgrade to the NLC Based on the Relativistic-Klystron ...</b>	<b>891</b>
A.1	Introduction . . . . .	892
A.2	A Design for an RK-TBA-Based rf Power Source . . . . .	893
A.3	TBNLC Physics Studies . . . . .	902
A.4	TBNLC Engineering Design . . . . .	911
A.5	RTA Test Facility . . . . .	920
A.6	Conclusions . . . . .	929
<b>B</b>	<b>A Second Interaction Region For Gamma-Gamma, Gamma-Electron and ...</b>	<b>935</b>
B.1	Introduction . . . . .	937
B.2	Physics Opportunities at $\gamma\gamma$ Collider: The Higgs Sector and Other New Physics . . . . .	938
B.3	Major Parameters . . . . .	941
B.4	CP Issues . . . . .	943
B.5	IP Issues . . . . .	950
B.6	Luminosity Calculations . . . . .	952
B.7	Backgrounds and Other Detector Considerations . . . . .	962
B.8	Laser Optical Path in IR . . . . .	969
B.9	Gamma-Gamma Final Focus System . . . . .	978
B.10	Extraction and Diagnostic Line . . . . .	982
B.11	Laser Technology I: Solid State Lasers . . . . .	983
B.12	Free-Electron Lasers . . . . .	992
<b>C</b>	<b>Ground Motion: Theory and Measurement</b>	<b>1005</b>
C.1	Introduction . . . . .	1006
C.2	Theory . . . . .	1006
C.3	Ground Motion Measurement Devices . . . . .	1018
C.4	SLAC Ground Motion Measurements and Analysis . . . . .	1024
<b>D</b>	<b>Beam-based Feedback: Theory and Implementation</b>	<b>1037</b>

D.1	Introduction . . . . .	1038
D.2	Planned NLC Feedbacks . . . . .	1038
D.3	Feedback System Design . . . . .	1039
D.4	Performance Questions . . . . .	1039
D.5	Adaptive Cascade . . . . .	1039
D.6	Rate Considerations, and Corrector Speeds . . . . .	1040
D.7	Calibrations and Modeling . . . . .	1041
D.8	Global Performance Characterization . . . . .	1041
D.9	Summary . . . . .	1042



# Preface

This “Zeroth-Order Design Report” (ZDR) for the Next Linear Collider (NLC) is being created at a time of both great opportunity and uncertainty in the future directions that will be taken by the world-wide community of high-energy physics. There is exciting news that the Large Hadron Collider project has been approved for construction at CERN, and the planned involvement by physicists and engineers from countries around the globe will make this the first accelerator to be designed and built by a truly world-wide collaboration. By contrast, the cancellation of the SSC has demonstrated the necessity of international collaboration on such large scientific projects. The community of scientists and engineers at work on the accelerator physics and technologies of high-energy electron-positron colliders has recognized this need, and has made concerted effort to coordinate research activities to optimize our combined understanding and knowledge. This ZDR is one further step in this process.

The first electron-positron linear collider, the Stanford Linear Collider (SLC), began operation in 1989 with the dual purpose to explore the particle physics of the  $Z^0$  boson and to develop the accelerator physics needed for a future TeV-scale linear collider. The SLC program has proven to be quite successful on both counts. Experiences gained and lessons learned from this prototype collider are a firm foundation for the design and implementation of a next generation machine. Developments at laboratories around the world have led to several choices of technologies to efficiently accelerate beams of electrons and positrons to high energy, and major test facilities presently nearing completion will soon allow evaluation of complete systems of these acceleration techniques. Additional test facilities already, or soon will, provide demonstrations and experience with techniques to create and control the delicate beams required to achieve the high luminosities needed for particle physics at the TeV-scale.

This NLC ZDR has been completed in the above context as a feasibility study for a TeV-scale linear collider that incorporates a room-temperature accelerator powered by rf microwaves at 11.424 GHz—similar to that presently used in the SLC, but at four times the rf frequency. The purpose of this study is to examine the complete systems of such a collider, to understand how the parts fit together, and to make certain that every required piece has been included. The “design” presented here is not fully engineered in any sense, but to be assured that the NLC can be built, attention has been given to a number of critical components and issues that present special challenges. More engineering and development of a number of mechanical and electrical systems remain to be done, but the conclusion of this study is that indeed the NLC is technically feasible and can be expected to reach the performance levels required to perform research at the TeV energy scale.

It is important to recognize that the contents of this ZDR include the work of many people not acknowledged as authors in the subsections of the report. This ZDR is the result of many years of discussion and investigation with scientists and engineers from around the world. References have been given in the text, but it is not always possible to accurately identify the true source of many of the notions and ideas included in a work of this type. The authors of this report apologize in advance for omissions. Effort has been made to use technical definitions in this ZDR that conform as widely as possible to those used in the recently completed International Linear Collider Technical Review Committee Report (The TRC Report, edited by G. Loew, SLAC Report-471, 1996). The ideas and parameters that appear in this ZDR have evolved from those given in the TRC report. Even so, the TRC report is a valuable companion to this document.